

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P. Dos 1450
Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE | | ATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------------|---|------------|----------------------|---------------------------|------------------|
| 10/067,845 02/08/2002 | | 002 | Yun-Bok Lee | 8733.592.00 | 9648 |
| 30827 | 7590 0 | 07/16/2003 | | | |
| | A LONG & AL | DRIDGE LL | EXAMINER | | |
| | 1900 K STREET, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20006 | | | CHOWDHURY, TARIFUR RASHID | |
| | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | | 2871 | |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 07/16/2003 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|---|----------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| . 4 | 10/067,845 | LEE, YUN-BOK | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | Tarifur R Chowdhury | 2871 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the | correspondence address | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | | | | | | | |
| 1)☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | | | | | |
| 2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ Th | is action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application | | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| 6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | |
| 8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> are subject to restriction and/or € Application Papers | election requirement. | | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine | | | | | | | |
| , | | aminer | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | | | |
| 12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | • | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | | |
| 13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | (a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | |
| a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: | , p | | | | | | |
| 1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents | s have been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents | | ation No. | | | | | |
| 3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prior | ity documents have been recei | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | | ved. | | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti | • | | | | | | |
| a) The translation of the foreign language pro 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesting Attachment(s) | • • | | | | | | |
| | 4) | on (PTO 412) Popos No(a) | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 5) Notice of Informa | ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | | |
| U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office Ac | tion Summary | Part of Paper No. 4 | | | | | |

'Application/Control Number: 10/067,845

Art Unit: 2871 رـــ

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-11 drawn to a an array substrate for in-plane switching liquid crystal display device, classified in class 349, subclass 141.
 - II. Claims 12-25, drawn to a method of forming an array substrate for an inplane switching liquid crystal display device, classified in class 349, subclass 187.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process such as not thermal treating the substrate.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

·Application/Control Number: 10/067,845

_ Art Unit: 2871

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tarifur R Chowdhury whose telephone number is (703) 308-4115. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (6:30-5:00) Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert H. Kim can be reached on (703) 305-3492. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 746-7005 for regular communications and (703) 308-7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1782.

T. Chowdhury
Primary Examiner

Technology Center 2800

TRC July 14, 2003